Case 1:97-cr-05266-AWI Document 738 Filed 06/23/06 Page 1 of 2

TERRY CRANDALL MINCEY,

VS.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

) (No. CR-F-97-5266 OWW)
) ORDER GRANTING RESPONDENT'S

) EX PARTE MOTION TO UNSEAL
) ORDER IN NO. CR-F-97-5266
) FOR THE SOLE PURPOSE OF
) PROVIDING COPY TO RESPONDENT

No. CV-F-04-6373 REC

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
Respondent.

Petitioner,

The ex parte motion of the United States to unseal the "ORDER <u>UNDER SEAL</u> DENYING DEFENDANT MINCEY'S SECOND MOTION FOR SUBSTITUTION OF COUNSEL" filed in No. CR-F-97-5266 on November 29, 2000 as document no. 441 is granted subject to the following conditions.

The Clerk of the Court is authorized to unseal the Order for the sole and limited purpose of providing a copy to the United States. In all other respects, the Order is to remain sealed.

The United States may refer to the Order for the sole purpose of responding to petitioner's application for a

Case 1:97-cr-05266-AWI Document 738 Filed 06/23/06 Page 2 of 2

certificate of appealability presenting pending before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal and, if the certificate of appealability is granted by the Ninth Circuit, for the purpose of responding to the merits of petitioner's appeal of the denial of his motion to vacate, set aside or correct sentence pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255. The United States is precluded from using the Order or any representations made therein for any other purpose or from disclosing the contents of the Order to any other persons or offices. See Bittaker v. Woodford, 331 F.3d 715 (9th Cir.), cert. denied, 540 U.S. 1013 (2003).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 23, 2006 /s/ Robert E. Coyle
668554 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE